

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/891,654   | 06/27/2001      | Takashi Maruko       | Q65201              | 5513                    |  |
| 7.   | 7590 01/14/2005 |                      |                     | EXAMINER ,              |  |
| SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC                |                 |                      | DUONG, THANH P      |                         |  |
| 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington. DC 20037-3213 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
| 3  |                 |                      | 1764                |                         |  |
|  |                 |                      |                     | DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |   | 09/891,654  | MARUKO ET AL.  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| =  |   | Tom P Duong   | 1764   |  |  |  |
| The MAI<br>Period for Reply  | LING DATE of this communication ap  | opears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address /   |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT  - If the period for rep  - If NO period for rep! with Any reply received | O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL<br>DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.<br>may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.<br>THS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>ly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ite, cause the application to become ABANDON. | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsi   | ve to communication(s) filed on 171   | November 2004.  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | is action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | accordance with the practice under  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Cla   | ims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ 7) ☐ Claim(s)  | 1-4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are pending in to above claim(s) is/are withdra is/are allowed. 1-4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/   | awn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Paper  | s   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊡ The specif   | fication is objected to by the Examin   | er.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawi  | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant r  | may not request that any objection to the   | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| Replaceme  | ent drawing sheet(s) including the corre  | ction is required if the drawing(s) is of   | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| 11)⊡ The oath o  | or declaration is objected to by the E  | Examiner. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 <b>U</b>   | J.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a)   | dgment is made of a claim for foreig  Some * c)  None of:  rtified copies of the priority documentified copies of the priority documentified copies of the priority documenties of the certified copies of the priority documenties of the certified copies of the priority documenties of the certified copies of the priority documenties of the priority documenties of the priority document.                                   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Applicat<br>ority documents have been receiv   | tion No  |  |  |  |
|  | ached detailed Office action for a lis  | ` ''  | ed.  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   | •  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of Referen   |   | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |
|  | erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ssure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Date  | Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:  | late Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 6-7, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Japanese Publication (2000-051397), herein referred as JP '397. Regarding claims 1-3, 6-7, and 11, JP '397 discloses a multi-layer golf ball comprising of a solid core (1) with a deflection of 3.0-4.5 mm under an applied load of 100kg (Abstract), an intermediate layer (2) made of ionomer resins (Section 0023) with thickness G1 of 0.8-3.5 mm (Section 0033) and Shore D hardness of 45-57 (Section 0034), and a cover (3) with thickness G2 of 0.5-2.5 mm (Section 0041) and Shore D hardness of 45-70(Section 0041) and is formed of an urethane resin (Section 0036). JP '397 does not disclose the optimized formula:  $[G_1/(G_1 + G_2)] \times 100 => 45\%$ ; however, selecting a given G1 value of 1.0 mm and G2 value of 1.0 mm from the above range and substituting these values into the above formula will yield 80%, which is greater than or equal to 50%, which satisfies the above optimized formula. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of JP '397 to one having ordinary skill in the art to select the appropriate G1 and G2 values in the above range to satisfy

Application/Control Number: 09/891,654

**Art Unit: 1764** 

the optimized formula thru routine optimization. Regarding claim 10, JP '397 does not disclose the optimized formula:  $65\% = [G_1/(G_1 + G_2)] \times 100 = 50\%$ ; however, selecting a given G1 value of 1.2 mm and G2 value of 1.0 mm from the above range and substituting these values into the above formula will yield 54%, which satisfies the above optimized formula. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of JP '397 to one having ordinary skill in the art to select the appropriate G1 and G2 values in the above range to satisfy the optimized formula thru routine optimization.

Page 3

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the JP '397. JP '397 discloses a urethane cover (Section 0036) of the claimed invention but fails to disclose expressly the melt index of at least 3.0 dg/min at 190° C. Note, the melt index is a process parameter that used to control the viscosity of the polymer material during the molding process in order to provide proper molding of the ball cover. It is conventional to control a melt index of at least 3.0 dg/min at 190° C in order to provide proper molding to the cover and it would obvious to do so here to gain the same benefit. Note, Product-by process claims are not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps (See MPEP 2113).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-7, and 10-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,654

Art Unit: 1764

### Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom P Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2794. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tom Duong January 7, 2005

70

Glenn Caldarola

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Colors Center 1700